



Department of Energy

Grand Junction Projects Office
Post Office Box 2567
Grand Junction, Colorado 81502-2567

June 11, 1990

Mr. Paul Mushovic, Remedial Project Manager
W.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region VIII
999 18th Street, Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202-2405

Mr. Steven J. Peterson, Environmental Engineer
Bureau of Solid and Hazardous Waste
288 North 1460 West
P.O. Box 16690
Salt Lake City, UT 84116-0690

Subject: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating under Farmland Protection Policy Act

Dear Mr. Mushovic and Mr. Peterson:

The Soil Conservation Service has determined that no prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland is located within the boundary of the proposed South Site alternative for the repository.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Dee J. Williamson
Monticello Project Coordinator
Grand Junction Projects Office

Enclosures (3)

cc: J Lyle - DOE/ID, w/o enc.
W Murphie - EM-423/GTN, w/enc.
J Lilly - Weston OTS, w/enc.
H Parry - UNC Geotech, w/enc.
B Hollowell - DOE/ID, w/enc.

19019
D Williamson/dk
/ /90

M Tucker
/ /90



Department of Energy
Grand Junction Projects Office
Post Office Box 2567
Grand Junction, Colorado 81502-2567
May 18, 1990

Mr. Jan C. Anderson
Soil Conservation Service
350 North 400 East
Price, UT 84501

SUBJECT: Farmland Protection Act

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Per the conversation with David L. Scheuerman, UNC, on May 16, 1990, please pursue the identification of prime, unique, or important farmland located in the San Juan County area. A legal description of the land in questions is W. 1/2 Section 5, Section 6, T.34S., R.24E, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. This information was given to the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) at Monticello.

Field sheets from the May 16, 1990 site inspection were sent to your office on May 16, 1990 for further handling.

I understand that after receipt of the field sheets, Mr. Ferris P. Allgood, State Soil Scientist, will proceed to make formal identification and documentation of this land; and this process will take about two weeks to accomplish.

If you have any questions, please contact David Scheuerman at 303-248-6140 or FTS 326-6140.

Sincerely,

Dee J. Williamson
Monticello Project Manager

cc: D Andrews, SCS, Monticello
F Allgood, SCS, Salt Lake City
J Lyle, DOE-ID

Williamson/jg
/ /90

Tucker
/ /90



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Soil
Conservation
Service

P. O. Box 11350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84147

Received-ODE
Grand Jct. Proj. Office

JUN 07 1990

June 4, 1990

Mr. Dee J. Williamson
U. S. Dept. of Energy
Grand Junction Projects Office
P. O. Box 2567
Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Dear Mr. Williamson:

We have reviewed the project for the proposed depository for uranium tailings.

The area is determined to be excluded from all categories of Important Farmland. A completed form AD-1006 is enclosed.

If we can be of further assistance, please call on us at (801) 524-5064.

Sincerely,

FERRIS P. ALLGOOD
State Soil Scientist

Enclosure



The Soil Conservation Service
is an agency of the
Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

Part I (To be completed by Federal Agency) Name Of Project: <u>Monticello Remedial Action Project</u> Proposed Land Use: <u>Repository For Uranium Tailings</u>		Date Of Land Evaluation Request: <u>May 16, 1990</u> Federal Agency Involved: <u>U.S. Dept. of Energy</u> County And State: <u>San Juan County, UTAH</u> Date Request Received By SCS: _____	
Part II (To be completed by SCS)			
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply — do not complete additional parts of this form).		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres Irrigated _____ Average Farm Size _____
Major Crop(s) _____	Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction Acres: _____ % _____	Amount Of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: _____ % _____	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used _____	Name Of Local Site Assessment System _____	Date Land Evaluation Returned By SCS _____	
Part III (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Alternative Site Rating	
		Site A	Site B
		Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			
C. Total Acres In Site			
Part IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Information			
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland			
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted			
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value			
Part V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)			
Part VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))		Maximum Points	
1. Area In Nonurban Use			
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use			
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed			
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area			
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			
10. On-Farm Investments			
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	
Part VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100	
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	
Site Selected: _____	Date Of Selection _____	Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Reason For Selection: _____			